for a sheep fold in the City, (3) the expenditure incurred on the Bye-Election and General Elections of the City held on 30th September 1927 and 18th October 1927, and (4) the printing of the copies of the President's Valedictory speech delivered on 1st October 1927, were read and answered, together with supplementary questions put by Councillors on the various interpellations.

Mr. Y. V. Ayya proposed that Subject No. 25 on the Agenda for December 1927, *re* the conveyance allowance to be paid to the Elected President be advanced and taken up for discussion. The House accepted it and the subject was taken up.

At this stage, Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan, President, vacated the Chair and left the Council Chamber.

MOHAMED ABBAS KHAN,
*President.*

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar proposed and Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa seconded that Rao Bahadur Dr. C. B. Rama Rao do take the Chair.

Captain Y. V. Ayya proposed and Mr. Kempanna seconded that Mr. B. D. Naidu do take the Chair.

The first proposition was put to vote and carried as 13 voted 'For' and 11 'Against' it.

Rao Bahadur Dr. C. B. Rama Rao then took the Chair.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, proposed that no conveyance allowance be given to the President or that the consideration of the question be deferred till the practice prevailing in Madras and Bombay is ascertained and placed before Council.

Mr. P. Subbarama Chetty seconded the proposition that no conveyance allowance need be granted to the President.

The subject was being discussed by other members, when Mr. M. Royan proposed and Mr. B. Kempanna seconded that the meeting be adjourned, as it was 5 P.M.

The motion for adjournment was put to vote and carried. Fourteen Voting 'For' and '9' Against.

The meeting was accordingly adjourned to a day to be fixed later on and intimated.

C. B. RAMA RAO,
*Chairman.*

No. 45.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON MONDAY THE 16TH JANUARY 1928, AT 4 P.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

PRESENT.

Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan, (Honorary President).

COUNCILLORS.

29

Absent ... ... ... ... ... Nil.

Before the proceedings began, Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, Municipal Councillor, said that with the permission of the President and the Council, he would move a resolution of condolence on the sad and untimely death of Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao, Professor of Chemistry, Central College, which sad event occurred at the early hours of this morning. Mr. Narasinga Rao spoke of the very high attainments of Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao in the field of Chemistry and of his very amiable qualities and of his love towards the students, which was apparent from the large number of students that assembled at his residence this morning.

Mr. D. Gopalakrishna Rao then spoke feelingly of the late Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao.

The President also associated himself with all that was said of the late Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao and moved the following resolution, which was carried unanimously, all the Councillors, Officers and Visitors, standing :—

"That this Council do record its deep sorrow and respect on account of the untimely death of Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao, M.A., Professor of Chemistry, Central College, Bangalore, which sad event took place at the early hours of this morning and that this resolution be communicated to the surviving members of the bereaved family."

The Proceedings of the meeting dated 7th January 1928, were read from the beginning up to the time when the President vacated the Chair. When the proceedings relating to the business transacted under the Chairmanship of Rao Bahadur Dr. C. B. Rama Rao were taken up for reading, Mr. N. Balakrishniah raised a point of order that the proceedings relating to that portion of the business be not read and recorded, as the meeting held under the Chairmanship of Dr. C. B. Rama Rao was not legally constituted, for the following reasons :—

(1) When the names of two members of the Council were duly proposed and seconded to occupy the Chair, a third member of the Council should have occupied the Chair temporarily to decide by taking votes as to who should preside.

(2) The resolution moved by Captain Y. V. Ayya and seconded by Dr. Royan to the effect that Mr. K. Nettakallappa do occupy the Chair, was not given effect to.

(3) When the names of two gentlemen had been duly proposed and seconded, votes should have been recorded by ballot, while as a matter of fact, the name of Rao Bahadur Dr. C. B. Rama Rao was put to vote, ignoring totally the name of Mr. B. D. Naidu.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao raised a point or order that the objection cannot be taken at this stage of the meeting.

The President gave a ruling to Mr. C N. Narasinga Rao's objections that the point raised by Mr. Balakrishniah to the effect that the proceedings relating to the business transacted under the Chairmanship of Dr. C. B. Rama Rao be not read at this meeting, was in order.

Before giving the ruling on the point of order raised by Mr. N. Balakrishniah, the President invited the opinion of the members present to give their opinion.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao enquired whether the President was going to take votes on this point of order. The President quoted rule 5 of the rules of business of Council meeting and stated that the point of order will not be put to the vote but it will be decided by him.

Messrs. G. B. Raghavendrachar and S. N. M. Razvi held opposite views. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi said that this objection should have been taken on the day of the meeting itself.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar quoted Sections 26 (2) and 38 (2) of the Municipal Regulation. When Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa wanted to speak, Mr. B. D. Naidu wanted the President to give a ruling at once or to allow every body to speak.

The President agreed with the point of order raised by Mr. N. Balakrishniah and ruled that the minutes of the proceedings in question be not read and recorded.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao raised a point of order to the effect that since the last meeting was adjourned with Dr. C. B. Rama Rao in the Chair, when the Council was half-way through a particular subject and since this is an adjourned meeting to consider the undisposed of subjects of that meeting, the President must vacate the chair and Dr. C. B. Rama Rao continue the business of the day from that point.

Mr. S. N. M. Razvi was of opinion that since the proceedings of the meeting dated 7th January 1928, presided over by Dr. C. B. Rama Rao were not read and recorded, those proceedings are to be considered as invalid and that in case the President should vacate the Chair this day also there must be a fresh election of the Chairman to-day.

Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa observed that the President had no autho rity to give the ruling and that the meeting should begin from the point when it was left last time and automatically Dr. C. B. Rama Rao should have taken the Chair.

The President gave a ruling that there is no rule of law that the President should vacate the Chair and that the meeting do take up the question of the consideration of the allowance to be sanctioned to the President since that question was not decided at the last meeting.

When the President wanted to vacate the Chair, Messrs. G. Siddaveerappa, B. Kempanna, M. Royan, Y. V. Ayya, B. D. Naidu and Chicknanjundappa stated that the President need not vacate the Chair.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar said that it is entirely left to the good sense and discretion of the President to vacate or not to vacate the Chair when the question of the allowance is taken up.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao raised a point of order that as the proceedings of the previous day were not vitiated, the meeting do begin from the point where it stopped last time.

The President gave a ruling that the subject be taken afresh.

Subject No. 25 on the Agenda regarding the Conveyance allowance to be paid to the President was taken up.

The President informed the house that he had received a written proposition from Mr. B. D. Naidu and that he would permit him to move the same.

Mr. B. D. Naidu proposed and Mr. Y. V. Ayya and Mr. Hoskote Bhadrappa seconded that,

"an allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem be sanctioned to the President with effect from the date of his assuming office and the same be in force during the life-time of this Council."

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar urged that subject No. 25 on the printed agenda is for discussion, that the wording " '*the*' Conveyance allowance " meant the sum of Rs 250 which was being paid should be paid or not and that the proposition which is now for the first time read by the President being without seven days' clear notice, is not in order and should not be discussed now in preference to subject No. 25 and that they are perfectly at liberty to bring it by way of an amendment.

Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa said that it was a new proposition, not circulated, comes up on the agenda all on a sudden, now attempted to be moved and seconded and that it should not be allowed to be discussed until seven clear days' notice is given.

The President gave a ruling that since the question of the consideration of the Conveyance allowance to be paid to the President is included in the agenda dated 24th November 1927, the proposition now moved is quite in order and that it is open to the Council to move amendments to reduce it or to decide not to grant it.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar raised a point of order that as this is an adjourned meeting no new subject can be taken at an adjourned meeting and even if it is admitted that this is not a new subject. Subject No. 25 in the printed agenda has not been taken up in preference to other subjects, for no definite proposal was made to advance it and neither votes were taken nor the house gave its consent and that even if the subject had been taken, some one should have moved that Rs. 250 should be given and it should be duly seconded and this might have been taken as an amendment.

The President gave a ruling that the proposition of Mr. B. D. Naidu was quite in order

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, proposed that subject No. 25, as printed in the agenda, *i.e.*, 'Consideration of *the conveyance* allowance' be taken up. The President gave a ruling that it is the identical subject moved by Mr. B. D. Naidu, referred to by Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, that is under consideration and he is quite welcome to move any amendment to the resolution before the house.

At this stage Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, moved the adjournment of the house, Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa, seconded it.

The proposition was put to vote and lost, as 14 voted 'For' and 15 'Against' it.

Messrs. G. B. Raghavendrachar and C. N. Narasinga Rao, demanded a Poll.

| *'For.'* | *'Against.'* |
|---|---|
| 1 Mr. S Venkatarangaiengar | 1 The President |
| 2 Dr. C B Rama Rao | 2 Mr. M C Chiknanjundappa |
| 3 Mr. B K Garudachar | 3 ,, D Venkataramanappa |
| 4 ,, D Gopalakrishna Rao | 4 ,, N Balakrishnaiya |
| 5 ,, S N M Razvi | 5 ,, H Bhadrappa |
| 6 ,, Belur Srinivasiengar | 6 ,, K Nettakallappa |
| 7 ,, V Vajapey | 7 ,, C K Hutchappa |
| 8 ,, W H Hanumanthappa | 8 ,, B Kempanna |
| 9 ,, C N Narasinga Rao | 9 ,, M Muniswamy |
| 10 ,, P Subbarama Chetty | 10 ,, B D Naidu |
| 11 ,, Chickmunisawmisa | 11 Capt. Y V Ayya |
| 12 ,, A Madhaviengar | 12 Mr. M Royan |
| 13 ,, S Narayana Rao | 13 ,, J R Issac |
| 14 ,, G B Raghavendrachar | 14 ,, Syed Abdul Khader |
| | 15 ,, G Siddaveerappa |

(Mr. D. S. Govinda Rao, did not vote.)

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, wanted to move that the subject be adjourned pending decision of the question whether the President has executive functions. The President ruled that as the adjournment of the house has been negatived and as it has been decided to take up the subject for consideration at this meeting, his motion cannot be admitted.

Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, proposed an amendment that the figure "Rs. 150" be substituted for the figure "Rs. 300" in the proposition of Mr. B. D. Naidu. The amendment was seconded by Mr. P. Subrama Chetty. The amendment was put to vote and lost as 13 voted 'For' and 15 'Against'.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar and Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, demanded a poll:—

The following voted 'For' the amendment:—

Messrs. (1) D. S. Govinda Rao, (2) G. B. Raghavendrachar, (3) S. Narayana Rao, (4) A. Madhaviengar, (5) Chikmunisawmisa, (6) P. Subbarama Chetty, (7) C. N. Narasinga Rao, (8) W. H. Hanumanthappa, (9) V. Vajapey, (10) Belur Srinivasiengar, (11) S. N. M. Razvi, (12) D. Gopalakrishna Rao and (13) B. K. Garudachar.

The following voted 'Against':—

Messrs. (1) The President, (2) G. Siddaveerappa, (3) Syed Abdul Khader, (4) J. R. Issac, (5) M. Royan, (6) Y. V. Ayya, (7) B. D. Naidu, (8) M. Muniswamy, (9) B. Kempanna, (10) C. K. Huchappa, (11) H. Bhadrappa, (12) K. Nettakallappa, (13) N. Balakrishnaiah, (14) D. Venkataramanappa and (15) M. C. Chiknanjundappa.

The original proposition of Mr. B. D. Naidu, was put to vote and carried. Fifteen voting 'For' and 11 'Against'. Messers. G. B. Raghavendrachar and C. N. Narasinga Rao, demanded a poll.—

| *'For'.* | *'Against'.* |
|---|---|
| 1 Mr. G Siddaveerappa | 1 Mr. G B Raghavendrachar |
| 2 ,, Syed Abdul Khadar | 2 ,, A Madhaviengar |
| 3 ,, J R Issac | 3 ,, Chikmuniswamisa |
| 4 ,, M Royan | 4 ,, P Subbarama Chetty |
| 5 ,, Y V Ayya | 5 ,, C N Narasinga Rao |
| 6 ,, B D Naidu | 6 ,, W H Hanumanthappa |
| 7 ,, M Muniswamy | 7 ,, V Vajapey |
| 8 ,, B Kempanna | 8 ,, Belur Srinivasiengar |
| 9 ,, C K Hutchappa | 9 ,, S N M Razvi |
| 10 ,, K Nettakallappa | 10 ,, D Gopalakrishna Rao |
| 11 ,, H Bhadrappa | 11 ,, B K Garudachar |
| 12 ,, N Balakrishniah | |
| 13 ,, D Venkataramanappa | *'Neutrals'.* |
| 14 ,, Chiknanjundappa | 1 Mr. D S Govinda Rao |
| 15 The President | 2 ,, S Narayana Rao |

At this stage Mr. B. Kempanna, proposed that the remaining subjects relating to the agenda for December 1927, be adjourned and that the meeting for January 1928, be taken up for the election of the Municipal Advocate, which is an urgent matter of importance and which should have taken place in the early part of November.

Mr. Y. V. Ayya, seconded it.

Mr. B. K. Garudachar, raised a point of order that the notice of this day's meeting clearly stated that the subjects of December only will be considered.

The President said that this meeting being a continuation of the meeting held on 7th January 1928, and that for the meeting of the 7th, the subjects relating to the agenda for the month of January 1928, had also been tabled, the objection was out of order.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar moved a motion of adjournment of the meeting at 7-27 P.M. Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, seconded it.

The President said that since a motion for the adjournment of the meeting was negatived by this Council, the proposition was out of order.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, asked for an authority for the President to give the above ruling. The President said that he would do so if sufficient time is given.

Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa, read Rule 6 of the Council Business Rules and said that the point be put to the meeting and voted.

The President said that since he has alreay given a ruling on the point, the question does not arise.

The proposition that the subject relating to the election of the Municipal Advocate, (*Vide* No. 10, on the printed agenda for January 1928) be teken up was put to vote and carried. Voting. For 14, and Against 12.

Poll was demanded and granted :—

| *'For'.* | *'Against'.* |
|---|---|
| 1 The President | 1 Mr. D S Govinda Rao |
| 2 Mr. Chiknanjundappa | 2 „ G B Raghavendrachar |
| 3 „ D Venkataramanappa | 3 „ S Narayana Rao |
| 4 „ N Balakrishniah | 4 „ A Madhaviengar |
| 5 „ H Bhadrappa | 5 „ Chikmuniswamisa |
| 6 „ K Nettakallappa | 6 „ Subbarama Chetty |
| 7 „ C K Hutchappa | 7 „ Belur Srinivasiengar |
| 8 „ B Kempanna | 8 „ V Vajapey |
| 9 „ M Muniswamy | 9 „ W H Hanumanthappa |
| 10 „ B D Naidu | 10 C N Narasinga Rao |
| 11 „ Y V Ayya | 11 „ D Gopalakrishna Rao |
| 12 „ M Royan | 12 „ B K Garudachar |
| 13 „ Syed Abdul Khader | |
| 14 „ G Siddaveerappa | |

The subject was accordingly taken up.

Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar said that the consideration of this question do lie over in view of the fact that some more information may be collected.

The President said that since the house has decided to take up the question for consideration immediately, the motion of Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, that the subject do lie over is out of order.

The President then read out the names of the candidates whose applications have been received and the Municipal Commissioner's note on the subject. The President also said that Mr. J. R. Issac has given notice of a proposition that the applications of candidates of three years' standing as advocates instead of five years, may also be considered and asked Mr. J. R. Issac to move his proposition.

Mr. J. R. Issac, moved his propositions :—

(1) "That this Council resolves in supercession of its resolution dated 19th March 1925, that it shall be sufficient if the candidate or candidates possess the qualification of three years' practice at the bar as resolved by the Bye-law Committee, appointed by the Council for this purpose.

(2) That this Council do consider the applications of all candidates possessing the above qualification in the selection of the Municipal Advocate, for the present term of the Council."

Mr. B. D. Naidu, seconded the proposition.

The proposition was carried. Voting.—22 'For' and 2 'Against'.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, then proposed that in view of Dr. Issac's above said proposition and the Commissioner's notification calling for applications from Advocates, that the advocates should be of five years standing, fresh notices should immediately be sent out and a short date be fixed for the election. Mr. D. Gopalakrishna Rao, seconded it.

The proposition was put to vote and carried. 19 'For' and 4 'Against'.

Meeting adjourned at 8-10 P.M., for a day to be fixed and intimated in due course.

MAHOMED ABBAS KHAN,
*Honorary President.*